

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/048,003	Applicant(s) IKENOYA, TADAKATSU	
	Examiner Sam Chuan C. Yao	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:

(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.

(2) Mr. Matthew Schneider.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
All

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Counsel that, elected claims 1-6 are allowable. Non-elected claims 7-16 are also allowable. Proposed rejoining and allowing non-elected claims 17-20 by amending independent claim 17 to require a barrier layer to be made of "aluminum foil or an inorganic-oxide vapor deposition film". Counsel agreed. Examiner also suggested for Counsel to revised the abstract to make into a single paragraph and correct a Japanese reference number cited on page 4 of the specification. Counsel agreed.